
Females increase current reproductive effort when future access to males is uncertain

Katja U Heubel, Kai Lindström and Hanna Kokko

Biol. Lett. 2008 **4**, 224-227
doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2007.0630

References

This article cites 22 articles, 1 of which can be accessed free
<http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/4/2/224.full.html#ref-list-1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click [here](#)

To subscribe to *Biol. Lett.* go to: <http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions>

Females increase current reproductive effort when future access to males is uncertain

Katja U. Heubel^{1,*}, Kai Lindström² and Hanna Kokko¹

¹Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

²Environmental and Marine Biology, Åbo Akademi, 20500 Turku, Finland

*Author and address for correspondence: Laboratory of Ecological and Evolutionary Dynamics, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Helsinki, PO Box 65, 00014 Helsinki, Finland (katja.heubel@helsinki.fi).

Trade-offs between current and future reproduction shape life histories of organisms, e.g. increased mortality selects for earlier reproductive effort, and mate limitation has been shown to shape male life histories. Here, we show that female life histories respond adaptively to mate limitation. Female common gobies (*Pomatoschistus microps*) respond to a female-biased operational sex ratio by strongly increasing the size of their first clutch. The plastic response is predicted by a model that assumes that females use the current competitive situation to predict future difficulties of securing a mating. Because female clutch size decisions are much more closely linked to population dynamics than male life-history traits, plastic responses to mate-finding limitations may be an underappreciated force in population dynamics.

Keywords: operational sex ratio; female–female competition; offspring allocation; life history; clutch size

1. INTRODUCTION

Mate availability is usually not assumed to limit female reproduction, yet there is mounting evidence that this generalization often fails (Wedell *et al.* 2002; Kokko & Mappes 2005; Smith & Reichard 2005). In particular, species with male parental care (Borg *et al.* 2002; Forsgren *et al.* 2004) or significant male nutritional investment in the eggs (Simmons & Kvarnemo 2006) often show female–female competition for access to male parental investment. When parental investment differs little between the two sexes, sex roles can rapidly change in response to the availability of the opposite sex, expressed as the operational sex ratio (OSR; Borg *et al.* 2002; Forsgren *et al.* 2004; Simmons & Kvarnemo 2006).

The consequences of limited access to males (or sperm) for females are usually studied in the context of female–female competition (Bro-Jørgensen 2007),

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2007.0630> or via <http://journals.royalsociety.org>.

choosiness (Kokko & Mappes 2005 and references therein) or fertilization success (e.g. Levitan 2004; Evans & Marshall 2005; Harris & Moore 2005). An aspect of life history that has received scant attention in this context is female reproductive effort. Life-history theory predicts that when prospects of future reproduction diminish, current reproductive effort should increase (Gadgil & Bossert 1970; Stearns 1992; Cichon 2001). In the context of mortality, this effect has strong experimental support (e.g. Stearns *et al.* 2000), and it can have dramatic consequences for the management of populations: for example, the total biomass diminishes if fish mature earlier as a response to increased fishing mortality (Ernande *et al.* 2004).

Mortality, however, is not the only factor that can favour early reproduction by making individuals unable to breed later in life. Since difficulties of finding a mate are equally detrimental to breeding success, one should expect an increased early reproductive effort in situations where future access to mates is uncertain. In contrast to the elevated mortality which can be hard for the individuals to assess, the competitive social situation can often be easily perceived by the individuals; this is often equivalent to being able to observe the OSR. Thus, while the response to extrinsic mortality may require several generations to evolve (Stearns *et al.* 2000), species that often experience fluctuations in the OSR can be expected to possess reaction norms that immediately relate current reproductive effort to the OSR. However, experimental evidence for such a response is lacking.

Here, we experimentally manipulated the OSR of common gobies (*Pomatoschistus microps*) to test whether females respond to a scarcity of males by increasing their early reproductive effort. The life-history predictions are analogous to those derived for mortality patterns (Stearns *et al.* 2000 and references therein). The predictions of the model are presented in the electronic supplementary material and state that regardless of the exact reason why females should *a priori* lay their eggs in several clutches, the first clutch (of those females who are able to mate) should become larger in a situation in which the OSR is female biased and future access to mates is therefore uncertain.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The common goby is a small short-lived coastal fish. It has a resource defence mating system and paternal care. On shallow soft bottoms, males build and defend nests under mussel shells and rocks (Nyman 1953).

The experiment was carried out at Tvärminne Zoological Station (Finland) throughout the 2005 mating season, using a population with a high seasonal variation in OSR (K. Heubel 2005, personal observation). Fish were kept in artificial outdoor pools in which the OSR was maintained as either female-biased (three females, one male), male-biased (one female, three males) or neutral (without potential intra-sexual competition: one female, one male). All females were gravid. The sex-biased trials were carried out in seven large (80 × 80 cm) pools, while the neutral treatment was conducted in seven smaller (50 × 60 cm) pools, in order to minimize density differences across treatments.

We studied focal female and male reproduction in two separate lines. The access to potential mates was held constant; a focal female that had spawned was moved into a new tank where a male (or males, depending on the treatment) had nests without eggs. Similarly, focal males got access to new females ready to spawn as




Figure 1. (a) Mean size (\pm s.e.) of female's first clutches and (b) median (the box encloses the inter-quartile range and the whiskers show maximum and minimum values; dotted line is the mean) number of consecutive clutches laid by females under different OSR treatments.

soon as the previous female had spawned. The replacements ensured that the OSR remained stable over time.

Each pool had a continuous flow-through of brackish seawater. Water quality, light conditions and temperature followed natural conditions. The non-transparent sides of the pools prevented interaction between pools. Under natural conditions, nest sites are scarce; hence, we provided just one nest per male using flower pot halves (4.5 cm diameter) on a 2–4 cm sand layer. Each pot had a removable piece of a transparent plastic sheet fit on the inside onto which the females attached their eggs in a single layer when spawning. All fish were wild-caught using a hand trawl and sexes kept in separate stock tanks for a few days before they entered the experiment. Fish were fed twice daily ad libitum with frozen chironomid larvae. Males entering an experimental pool were confined overnight to their allocated nests, isolated in clear cylinders (20 cm diameter), to allow undisturbed nest building. Fish were weighed and measured (total length) before they entered the experiment, and females were additionally weighed when they were transferred into another tank, e.g. after spawning. After the experiment, the fish were released back to their natural habitat.

All fish were individually marked with two colour marks (injected subcutaneously on the dorsal surface of the body to the left and the right of the dorsal fin) using visible implant elastomer tags (Northwest Marine Technology, USA).

All tanks were checked three times daily and identity, state, location and activity of each visible fish were observed as well as the state of each nest. Nests were lifted once daily to record brood-related changes inside the nest. Nest checks included identifying the nest owner, appearance of new eggs, assessment of egg development, hatching and cannibalism. In female-biased tanks, new eggs sometimes appeared without observing the spawning. In most cases, the identity of the spawned female was clear as its body shape suddenly changed from tadpole-like to slim. In the remaining uncertain cases, all females from the tank were weighed to determine the spawner. If uncertainty still remained, all females were replaced by new females.

Digital images of egg clutches were analysed using IMAGEJ (IMAGEJ v. 1.43s public domain software Wayne Rasband, NIH, USA). We analysed clutch area (mm²) and, for a subset of randomly picked clutches, counted the eggs. The number of eggs is strongly linearly related to the clutch area ($n=29$, $r^2=0.838$; $F_{1,27}=145.932$, $p<0.000$). Graphical analysis confirmed that clutch area and the number of eggs were normally distributed. The number of consecutive clutches were analysed non-parametrically. Statistical analyses were done using SYSTAT v. 10 (SPSS, Inc., 2000). The experiments yielded 22 (out of 39) focal males and 47 (first clutch) or 25 (all clutches) focal females (out of 69). We conducted 13 female-biased, 19 neutral and 15 male-biased replicates. Consecutive clutches were on average 9 days apart. Clutches per female ranged between 0 and 5, all laid between 12 June and 25 July 2005.

3. RESULTS

The size of the first clutch laid varied significantly across the OSR treatments (figure 1a; $F_{2,42}=4.61$, $p=0.016$), while body size and season had no effect on the size of the first clutch ($F_{1,42}=0.853$, $p=0.361$; $F_{1,42}=0.081$, $p=0.777$). A Bonferroni *post hoc* analysis revealed that the first clutches were larger in the female-biased treatment when compared with the two others (female-bias versus neutral LSM = -145.51 , $p=0.049$; female-bias versus male-bias LSM = -169.09 , $p=0.026$; MSE = 26 256.96 with d.f. = 44).

Under female-biased conditions, focal females laid fewer clutches (Kruskal–Wallis test: $\chi^2=11.416$, $p=0.003$, $n=25$; figure 1b). Thus, females in the female-biased treatment spawned most of their eggs in their first spawning, while the increase in cumulative egg numbers was gradual and reached higher total egg counts in the other treatments (figure 2a,b). There was no significant difference in the cumulative clutch size among the three OSR treatments ($F_{2,22}=2.219$, $p=0.133$). However, a direct comparison between the female-biased and male-biased treatments revealed significantly lower egg numbers under female-biased conditions ($F_{1,10}=5.768$, $p=0.037$); size and season had no effect on accumulated clutch sizes ($F_{1,10}=0.767$, $p=0.402$; $F_{1,10}=0.255$, $p=0.624$).

For individual males, it was the male-biased treatment, and not the female-biased treatment, which led to fewer consecutive clutches ($F_{2,19}=27.518$, $p<0.001$) and cumulative numbers of eggs received ($F_{2,19}=19.874$, $p<0.001$).

4. DISCUSSION

Numerous examples show that reproductive behaviour as a whole can be sensitive to the number and the sex of conspecifics (review: Kokko & Rankin 2006). Nevertheless, to our knowledge our experiment is the first demonstration that females increase their current reproductive effort (clutch size) as a response to perceived difficulties in competing for access to mates in the future. Females have been shown to adjust clutch size according to the social situation in other contexts, for example, in response to conspecific brood parasitism (Lyon 1998) or the attractiveness or parenting ability of the male (Sheldon 2000; Kolm 2001). In our situation, the reaction occurs as a response to the presence of competitor females rather than the identity of the male (see also Berglund 1991). Competitor females are expected to diminish the chances of an individual




Figure 2. (a) Distribution of the sum of clutches laid by females and (b) cumulative clutch sizes (mean \pm s.e.) yielded over one to five consecutive spawnings for females under different OSR treatments. Black, female bias; light grey, equal; dark grey, male bias.

female to gain future access to mates. Thus, the life-history setting is characterized by the conditions in the electronic supplementary material, where the first mating is guaranteed (the spawning female has already acquired a mate) but the second is not.

Both male and female life histories are shaped by a trade-off between current and future reproductive opportunities. The need to adjust life history to challenges of mate limitation has been amply documented in males (e.g. Badyaev & Qvarnström 2002) yet in our study the measured life-history trait, number of eggs laid, is under female rather than male control. Because this trait is much more directly linked to population-wide reproductive output than many male life-history traits, plasticity in female life histories with respect to mate-finding limitations may be an underappreciated force in population dynamics. It is also notable that females, despite their plastic increase of reproductive effort, did not compensate completely for the lack of mating opportunities: in the female-biased treatments the total number of eggs laid per focal female fell short of the maximum found in the other treatments. Our study therefore highlights the importance of considering both sexes in population dynamics (Rankin & Kokko 2007).

Funding was provided by the Academy of Finland. Tvärminne Zoological Station provided excellent working facilities. This research adhered to the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research and the legal requirements of Finland. Experiments were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the University of Helsinki. We thank Phillip Gienapp, Kaisa Mäki-Kihniä and Simo Rintakoski for help in the field.

- Badyaev, A. V. & Qvarnström, A. 2002 Putting sexual traits into the context of an organism: a life-history perspective in studies of sexual selection. *Auk* **119**, 301–310. (doi:10.1642/0004-8038(2002)119[0301:PSTITC]2.0.CO;2)
- Berglund, A. 1991 Egg competition in a sex-role reversed pipefish: subdominant females trade reproduction for growth. *Evolution* **45**, 770–774. (doi:10.2307/2409928)
- Borg, Å. A., Forsgren, E. & Magnhagen, C. 2002 Plastic sex-roles in the common goby—the effect of nest availability. *Oikos* **98**, 105–115. (doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.980111.x)
- Bro-Jørgensen, J. 2007 Reversed sexual conflict in a promiscuous antelope. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 2157–2161. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.11.026)
- Cichon, M. 2001 Diversity of age-specific reproductive rates may result from ageing and optimal resource allocation. *J. Evol. Biol.* **14**, 180–185. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2001.00243.x)
- Ernande, B., Dieckmann, U. & Heino, M. 2004 Adaptive changes in harvested populations: plasticity and evolution of age and size at maturation. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **271**, 415–423. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2519)
- Evans, J. P. & Marshall, D. J. 2005 Male-by-female interactions influence fertilization success and mediate the benefits of polyandry in the sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. *Evolution* **59**, 106–112. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00898.x)
- Forsgren, E., Amundsen, T., Borg, Å. A. & Bjelvenmark, J. 2004 Unusually dynamic sex roles in a fish. *Nature* **429**, 551–554. (doi:10.1038/nature02562)
- Gadgil, M. & Bossert, W. H. 1970 Life historical consequences of natural selection. *Am. Nat.* **104**, 1–24. (doi:10.1086/282637)
- Harris, W. E. & Moore, P. J. 2005 Female mate preference and sexual conflict: females prefer males that have had fewer consorts. *Am. Nat.* **165**, S64–S71. (doi:10.1086/429352)
- Kokko, H. & Mappes, J. 2005 Sexual selection when fertilization is not guaranteed. *Evolution* **59**, 1876–1885. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb01058.x)
- Kokko, H. & Rankin, D. J. 2006 Lonely hearts or sex in the city? Density-dependent effects in mating systems. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **361**, 319–334. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1784)
- Kolm, N. 2001 Females produce larger eggs for large males in a paternal mouthbrooding fish. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **268**, 2229–2234. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1792)
- Levitan, D. R. 2004 Density-dependent sexual selection in external fertilizers: variances in male and female fertilization success along the continuum from sperm limitation to sexual conflict in the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*. *Am. Nat.* **164**, 298–309. (doi:10.1086/423150)
- Lyon, B. E. 1998 Optimal clutch size and conspecific brood parasitism. *Nature* **392**, 380–383. (doi:10.1038/32878)
- Nyman, K.-J. 1953 Observations on the behaviour of *Gobius microps*. *Acta Soc. pro Fauna et Flora Fenn.* **69**, 1–11.

- Rankin, D. J. & Kokko, H. 2007 Do males matter? The role of males in population dynamics. *Oikos* **116**, 335–348. (doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15451.x)
- Sheldon, B. C. 2000 Differential allocation: tests, mechanisms and implications. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **15**, 397–402. (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(00)01953-4)
- Simmons, L. W. & Kvarnemo, C. 2006 Costs of breeding and their effects on the direction of sexual selection. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **273**, 465–470. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3309)
- Smith, C. & Reichard, M. 2005 Females solicit sneakers to improve fertilization success in the bitterling fish (*Rhodeus sericeus*). *Proc. R. Soc. B* **272**, 1683–1688. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3140)
- Stearns, S. C. 1992 *The evolution of life histories*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Stearns, S. C., Ackermann, M., Doebeli, M. & Kaiser, M. 2000 Experimental evolution of aging, growth, and reproduction in fruitflies. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 3309–3313. (doi:10.1073/pnas.060289597)
- Wedell, N., Gage, M. J. G. & Parker, G. A. 2002 Sperm competition, male prudence and sperm-limited females. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **17**, 313–320. (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02533-8)